



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/802,760	03/08/2001	Paul Gloyer	10113	8217

7590 10/09/2002

John L. Wood, Esq.
Heidelberg Digital LLC
Building 14
2600 Manitou Road
Rochester, NY 14624

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

GÖRR, RACHEL F

[REDACTED] ART UNIT [REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER //

1711

DATE MAILED: 10/09/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/802,760	GLOYER ET AL.
	Examiner Rachel Gorr	Art Unit 1711

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 September 2002.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-24,34-46 and 48 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-10,25-33 and 47 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4 .
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____.
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: _____.

Art Unit: 1711

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged.

The traversal is on the ground(s) that the examiner didn't show how Groups II-IV were different. The claims have been regrouped into Group I, claims 1-10, 25-33 and 47, and Group II, claims 11-24, 34-46 and 48. The Group I claims have been examined in this action.

2. Claims 4 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 4 and 28 should say methyltriphenylphosphonium in order for the claims to agree with claims 3 and 27. This error also occurs on page 24 of the disclosure.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 9, 10, 25, 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schlueter (570).

Schlueter discloses polyurethanes (example II) made from 50 wt. % prepolymer, 38 wt. % polyether polyol and 25 wt. % hardener comprising a polyol and less than 2 wt % of the polyurethane of a charge control agent, and made at an NCO/OH ratio of 0.96. The resistivity was 3×10^9 .

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-10, 25-33 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schlueter (570) in view of Chen (925) and Ramos.

7. Schlueter shows the invention of the claims (see above rejection). He differs from these claims by not showing the same charge control agent.

8. Chen discloses the charge control agents of the claims (example 10 shows the structure of applicants' claims 4 and 28). He teaches that a charge control agent that is chemically incorporated into the polyurethane will retain its level of resistivity (bottom col. 1). He discloses that the polyurethane can comprise 0.04-0.01 mole % of the charge control agent (bottom col. 1). His polyurethane is made from a prepolymer and a hardener comprising a blend of a polyether polyol (col. 5, line31) and another polyol as well as the charge control agent.

9. Ramos shows polyurethanes comprising the preferred charge control agent of Chen's example 10 (see abstract and example).

10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the charge control agents of Chen in Schlueter's formulation to prevent the charge control agent from leaching out per the teachings of Chen and Ramos. It would have been obvious to vary the amount of charge control agent in order to vary the resistivity value.

Art Unit: 1711

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel Gorr whose telephone number is (703) 308-3608. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri., from 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

R.G.
October 3, 2002

Rachel Gorr
RACHEL GORR
PRIMARY EXAMINER